



STUDENT

Anders

TEST

Hybrid SAT/ACT

EXAM DATE

June 19, 2022

SCORES

ACT – 27

English – 63/75 (28)

Math – 28/30 (33)

Reading – 13/20 (23)

Science – 32/40 (25)

SAT Equivalent – 1280-1300

SAT – 550V, 640M (1190)

Reading – 10/32

Math – 13/19

ACT Equivalent – 24

SAT
PSAT
ACT
ISEE
SSAT
SHSAT
GRE
LSAT

AND ACADEMIC SUBJECTS

ENGLISH

The ACT English section and the SAT Writing section are comparable. Anders did relatively well on this section, making only sporadic errors until the end, where he appears to have run short on time or stamina. In fact, half of his errors were on the final ten questions.

Anders' mistakes were scattered across question-types. He made several mistakes on grammar, missing questions on various topics, while answering similar questions correctly, indicating that he generally understands the conventions of standard English. That said, I have the sense that he should review pronouns, prepositions, and complex sentence structures. For example, Question 32 asks:

In the mountains, Quezada found shards of pots, and an occasional complete pot, painted with intricate red and black designs.

Which of the following alternatives to the underlined portion would NOT be acceptable?

F. pots—along with an occasional complete pot—

G. pots, along with an occasional complete pot,

H. pots, (and an occasional complete pot)

J. pots (and an occasional complete pot)

The correct answer is *H*; Anders chose *J*. This is a complex question, as it presents several complex sentence structures; this makes it easy to miss nuances like the stray comma choice *H*. The problem with this answer is that it creates a comma splice in the sentence. One trick with parenthetical statements (whether set off by parentheses, commas, or dashes) is to remove them and read the complete sentence. Using choice *H*, and removing the parenthetical portion, we would have, “In the mountains, Quezada found shards of pots, painted with intricate red and black designs.” Since the shards of pots are painted with intricate designs, “pots” and “painted” should not be separated by a comma. As such, this alternative is not acceptable. Anders needs to be careful to pay attention to such subtle details.

Anders also made sporadic errors on rhetoric and the production of writing, missing questions that asked about concision, word choice, organization, and related

SAT
PSAT
ACT
ISEE
SSAT
SHSAT
GRE
LSAT

AND ACADEMIC SUBJECTS



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

PHYSICS DEPARTMENT

5720 S. UNIVERSITY AVE.

CHICAGO, ILL. 60637

PHYSICS 311

LECTURE 1

LECTURE 2





THE [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible text block]





1. **Introduction**

2. **Methodology**

3. **Results**

4. **Conclusion**

5. **References**

6. **Appendix**





[The text in this section is extremely blurry and illegible. It appears to be a list of items or a series of short paragraphs.]

